

# Clinical Effectiveness Group Meeting Minutes

17/12/19

Agenda Items	Minutes	Actions
<p>NICE: CG31 Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) and Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range of services: BHM, Children’s Services etc.</li> <li>• Previously when we assessed this guideline, we only looked at a few of the standards – only appear to have looked at the ones relating to OCD.</li> <li>• Current assessment is much more thorough and includes BDD standards.</li> <li>• Generally doing pretty well – services are in general good at being sensitive and explaining what is happening, well trained staff etc.</li> </ul> <p>Not Met</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommendation 1.3.1.3 - Does not seem to be evidence of collaboration with Carers and S.Users to develop training around OCD and BDD.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ [redacted] – what type of training do they mean? What training to we provide that they would like carers and s.users to get involved in.</li> <li>○ Further examination of the guidelines, this training standard is for “specialists” service; therefore it may be that this is not applicable to our trust.</li> <li>○ [redacted] – to develop an understanding of the training we do have and create a standardised response.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Recommendation 1.5.2.10 - Do not provide support for transport – we do not have funding for this.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ [redacted] stated that this does not feel this is a reasonable request from NICE given the financial state of the NHS.</li> <li>○ Could argue this is partially met as we do provide public transport tickets for those on benefits.</li> <li>○ [redacted] feels that providing transport could be disempowering to s.users.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [redacted] to e-mail appropriate docs to [redacted] to e-mail [redacted] with the information and cc [redacted] in to highlight it is because of the way we are commissioned.</li> <li>• [redacted] – to develop an understanding of the current Carer and S.User training we do have, and create a standardised response.</li> </ul>

## Clinical Effectiveness Group Meeting Minutes

17/12/19

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recommendation 1.7.1.1 – Solar does not have remission reviews every 12 months as would be keeping extra people on caseload, but do have a rapid re-access process for s.users. N/A to BHM and Cilantro.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ [redacted] asked if this standard may only apply to individuals with OCD so severe they have ended up in hospital?</li><li>○ If this was the case this may change from not met to N/A</li></ul></li></ul> <p>Partially Met</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recommendation 1.1.2.3 - CPA<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Cilantro and Solar report to be compliant. CPA does not apply to Primary Care eg BHM</li></ul></li><li>• Recommendation 1.1.3.3 – BHM and Cilantro encourage patients and families to attend voluntary sector groups where available. SOLAR is not yet compliant with this as there are not many groups in the area. They are in process of creating one.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ [redacted] is this because it is not available in the local area? Should BSMHFT be marked negatively for not being able to signpost?</li><li>○ [redacted] stated that it may be useful for us to develop a standardised response for standards not met that we are not commissioned for.</li></ul></li><li>• 3 more standards partially met as BHM is not commissioned for this service (Recommendations 1.1.5.3, 1.1.5.5 and 1.5.2.6). [redacted] stated that she would be feeding this back to the [redacted] for a response.</li><li>• Recommendation 1.3.1.2 - Raising awareness<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Cilantro does not have the resources to do this. [redacted] stated that awareness raising is cover in Birmingham by other organisations eg MED Minds</li></ul></li></ul>	
--	--	--

## Clinical Effectiveness Group Meeting Minutes

17/12/19

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Majority of feedback from this assessment comes down to BHM Commissioning.</li><li>• <b>CEG – We have agreed this is an acceptable level of compliance.</b></li></ul>	
--	---	--